

**PEER-REVIEWING Form**

**5 = most relevant 1= irrelevant**

**TITLE**

**Author**

<b>PEER-REVIEW CRITERIA</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.</b> Paper formulation of the Paper Title <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>2.</b> Presentation relevance, key words selection <b>correspondence</b> with the theme and the paper content <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>3.</b> Paper structure according to the subject and the editing instructions <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>4.</b> Scientific relevance <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>5.</b> Innovation <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>6.</b> Originality <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>7.</b> Clarity in formulation <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>8.</b> Justification of selected topic <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>9.</b> Lack of errors, misconceptions, ambiguities <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>10.</b> References used are illustrating the paper text <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>11.</b> Citation of international publications <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>12.</b> Citation of national publications <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>13.</b> Citation of international conference proceedings articles <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>14.</b> Citation of national conference proceedings articles <i>Comments (optional)</i>					
<b>TOTAL</b> <i>Minimum required for publishing is 40 points</i>					

<b>REFERENT NAME</b>	
----------------------	--